

How to work with the limitations of the least cost path algorithm

09.05.2017, Muttenz Geopython 2017

Joram Schito



Agenda

- 1. Project Background
- 2. What is the least cost path and how is it computed?
- 3. Some typical problems of using least cost path and how they can be solved
- 4. Future Outlook
- 5. Discussion





Project Background

Application of 3D Geographic Information Systems for transparent and sustainable planning of electric power systems



engineering eth zurich

Our study area: We focus on the 380 kV grid expansion in Switzerland and Austria



MCDA combined with GIS: Data model, decision model, and weighting define the outcome





ikg eth zurich

Definition of a model to preprocess and to structure data using 4 factors and 15 subfactors

Factor	Subfactors	Factor	Subfactors	
Environment protection	Ecosystems supporting biodiversity Protective habitats	Costs	Monetary costs Impact on environment Impact on landscape	
Landscape conservation	Vaters protected by water act Landscapes protected by law Conservation of agricultural land Natural monuments Visibility of power line			
Urban planning	Urban areas Recreational and tourism areas Areas of high cultural value Visibility	Which alterna	ative will	
Natural constraints	Natural hazards Slope Building ground	the stakeholders choose?		
geoinformation	PLUS		3D-GIS Project: J. Schito 5/18/2018 6	

EHzürich

This is our product: a Decision Support System (DSS) in which a power grid can be planned in 3D and allows





PLUS



What is the least cost path and how is it computed?

Introduction into the precondition, the theoretical framework and the procedure



What is the least cost path?





•

Total normalized costs

Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA)

	Option 1: Through Suez Canal	Option 2: Around Africa
Costs of long distance	0.2	0.8
Costs / risks of being kidnapped	0.6	0.1
Costs of tolls	0.7	0.3
Total costs	1.5	1.2





- Comparison is only possible due to normalized scale
- How do different stakeholders assess the costs of both routes?
- How do stakeholders define costs in general?
- How can this problem be solved with GIS?



How the typical procedure of least cost path (LCP) works



















































	Netzausbau Petros Savaro Layer Information Layer	And a second sec			
	Layer Name (D)	and the second s	Feature Class	Resistance	Feature Weight
	Layer Name		Bauland_Siedlungen	9	0.06
	Layer Name	100	Feuchtgebiete	7	0.2
	Layer Name		Freizeit_Wasser_b	8	0.22
Lavels	Layer Name	Kall	Gewaesser_Gewaesserschutz	7	0.05
KAR KARA	Layer Vime 🖉 🐨	100 CO	Hochwasserzone_030a	2	0.41
			Hochwasserzone_100a	2	0.31
		\setminus \setminus	Hochwasserzone_300a	5	0.28
		15	Kulturlandschaften_2	4	0.12
	2	0	Landwirtschaftliche_Nutzflaechen	5	0.2
		Q	Naturdenkmaeler	2	0.25
here and the second of the second sec	4		Oekosysteme	7	0.17
	77	\0,	Radrouten_b	5	0.72
	8 {		RNA_Landschaftsschutzgebiet	5	0.05
			RNA_Naturschutzgebiet	0	0.08
	7		Sprawl_EnergieInfrastruktur	5	0.15
			Sprawl_lineareInfrastruktur	9	0.35
	R		Trockenrasen	5	0.1
			Vogelschutzzonen	9	0.15
			Wald	0	0.13
				l	Veight Table







Feature Class	Resistance	Feature Weight
Bauland_Siedlungen	9	0.06
Feuchtgebiete	7	0.2
Freizeit_Wasser_b	8	0.22
Gewaesser_Gewaesserschutz	7	0.05
Hochwasserzone_030a	2	0.41
Hochwasserzone_100a	2	0.31
Hochwasserzone_300a	5	0.28
Kulturlandschaften_2	4	0.12
Landwirtschaftliche_Nutzflaechen	5	0.2
Naturdenkmaeler	2	0.25
Oekosysteme	7	0.17
Radrouten_b	5	0.72
RNA_Landschaftsschutzgebiet	5	0.05
RNA_Naturschutzgebiet	0	0.08
Sprawl_EnergieInfrastruktur	5	0.15
Sprawl_lineareInfrastruktur	9	0.35
Trockenrasen	5	0.1
Vogelschutzzonen	9	0.15
Wald	0	0.13

Weight Table







Cost Surface







Cost Surface







Global Cost Surface







Global Cost Surface + Corridor





LCP computed using Dijkstra's Algorithm



Global Cost Surface + Corridor + Path







Global Cost Surface + Path







Global Cost Surface + Straightened Path





Some typical problems of using least cost path and how they can be solved



12 limitations of using MCDA + LCP

Advantages and Limitations of the Least Cost Path Algorithm for Planning Transmission Lines

Keywords

Shortest Path, Least Cost Path, Least Cost Corridor, Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis, GIS, GIScience.

Abstract

As for linear infrastructure in general, the planning of transmission lines makes use of Geographic Information Systems, including algorithms capable to determine an ideal path between two points. Therefore, two methods are commonly combined with each other in order to find a solution suitable for all involved stakeholders: the Least Cost Path algorithm, which determines a path of lowest friction, and Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis, which structures decision-making in order to avoid subjectivity. Although both methods are well-established in the transmission line planning process, their use still leads to some inconsistencies. In this paper, we refer to previous transmission line planning projects that made use of both methods. Twelve inconsistencies are identified based on the raster representation, Djikstra's algorithm, and concerning practical limitations. We then provide solutions for these inconsistencies found in the literature and through our own work in this field.

Schito, Piveteau, Buffat, Grassi, and Raubal (in progress)

Constraints based on the raster representation

Constraints based on Dijkstra's algorithm

Practical limitations









Some constraints based on the raster representation



Imagine, you want to span this mire... (OK, assume there were no legal restrictions)





http://naturepreserves.ohiodnr.gov (2017) 3D-GIS Project: J. Schito | 5/18/2018 | 34

Since LCP computes continuous paths, spanning is not possible



- Even though it was technically and legally possible, the mire left cannot be spanned because no continuous path can be computed.
- Furthermore, LCP is computed based on one cost surface. → However, different costs for transmission towers and for cables cannot be considered at once.

Raster discretization



- Due to the lattice, the most direct way from A to B is discretized and thus, extended.
- Thus, the modeled costs do not correspond to the true costs.
- In reality, zig-zag paths are avoided as much as possible.



EHzürich

Raster discretization: A solution proposed by Rheinert (1999) and newly implemented and improved by Piveteau (2017)





Piveteau (2017)

Piveteau (2017) compared the classical raster-based LCP method with her approach that also considers the relief and optimizes the path



- The novel approach affects less vulnerable land. It sets a transmission tower only where it is needed.
- Experts significantly prefer the solutions of the novel approach over the classical LCP method because the resulting path avoids settlements and future expansion areas more systematically.



PLUS

Cell size affects the LCP



- Here, both lines lie within the corridor of 0.125 SD tolerance, albeit using a different resolution.
- Is this method suitable for path straightening?
- Is this method suitable for providing different alternatives?



PLUS



Dijkstra

Constraints based on Dijkstra's algorithm



Would you be bothered if a power line was built beside your beautiful castle?





Would you be bothered if a power line was built beside your beautiful castle?









Would you be bothered if a power line was built beside your beautiful castle?



Proximity, as described by Huber & Church (1985), means that the LCP tends to follow given borders and that it does not consider surrounding resistances





Schito (2015) 3D-GIS Project: J. Schito | 5/18/2018 | 44

Buffering leads to more realistic models since Tobler's First Law of Geography is considered



Simple additive weighting, by reducing weighting the more frequently a cell overlaps Schito (2016)



LCP stops after the start has been connected with the end



- Every line represents an ideal solution for a given cost surface.
- Means: The circumstances cannot be changed during the same LCP run.
- Means: LCP is not suitable to find a solution when different transmission technologies (overhead line and earth cables) must be combined.





Practice

Practical limitations



LCP reduces solutions to one pixel, neglecting other solutions





However, LCP can be used to determine a feasible corridor



Kernel Density Estimation applied on 6 LCPs based on constant weightings, but different MCDA models



4.2 - 4.6

Schito (2017)



MCDA-Methode 2 (ohne Puffer) Planungsgebiet (1:150'000)



Schito (2017)



Future Outlook

On WP3 & WP4



Next steps

www.netzausbau.ethz.ch

- <u>www.ikg.ethz.ch</u>: 3D GIS for planning electric power systems
- Youtube: 3D Decision Support System zur Unterstützung der Leitungsplanung

- Evaluate the effects of the different MCDA methods through a sensitivity analysis.
- Integrate LiDAR data into the 3D DSS in order to get a more realistic impression of the virtual reality.







Discussion

Open Questions? Don't hesitate to ask.





